

COMPREHENSIVE EXAMINATION

Math 650 / Optimization / August 2006

(Prepared by Dr. O. Güler)

Name _____

INSTRUCTIONS: (i) You *must* solve *either Problem 1 or both Problems 2 and 3 (60 points)*; (ii) *one* problem from the set $\{4, 5\}$ (40 points). Please *mark* clearly which problems you would like to be graded - otherwise, Problems 1 and 5 will be graded.

1. Consider the optimization problem (P)

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & xyz \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & x^2 + y^2 + z^2 \leq 1, \\ & x + y + z = 1. \end{aligned}$$

(a) Write the Lagrangian function

$$L(x, y, z; \lambda_0, \lambda, \mu) := \lambda_0 xyz + \frac{\lambda}{2}(x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - 1) + \mu(x + y + z - 1).$$

Write down the FJ (Fritz John) conditions for (P), which are necessary for a local minimizer (x^*, y^*, z^*) of (P). Show that $\lambda_0 \neq 0$, either by citing an appropriate constraint qualification rule (preferred), or by an explicit, ad-hoc reasoning.

(b) Write down the KKT conditions for (P) which must be satisfied at all local minimizers of (P).

(c) Consider the following three points: $\{A(1/3, 1/3, 1/3), B(0, 0, 1), C(2/3, 2/3, -1/3)\}$. Determine, with full justification, which of these points satisfy the KKT conditions.

(d) Use second order necessary/sufficient conditions to determine whether the point C is a local minimizer.

(e) (*Extra Credit, 6 pts.*) Use the equations $yz + \lambda x + \mu = 0$ and $xz + \lambda y + \mu = 0$ appearing in the KKT conditions to conclude that

$$\text{either } x = y, \text{ or } z = \lambda.$$

Show that similar conditions equalities must also be true for the variable pairs $\{x, z\}$ and $\{y, z\}$. Prove that these imply that it is impossible to have all three variables x, y, z mutually distinct, that is, at least two of the three variables x, y, z must be the same, say $x = y$.

2. Consider the optimization problem (P): $\min\{f(x) : x \in C\}$, where $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a differentiable function, say with continuous gradient $\nabla f(x) = (\partial f(x)/\partial x_1, \dots, \partial f(x)/\partial x_n)^T$, and $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is a closed, convex set.

(a) Show that if $x^* \in C$ is a local minimizer of P , then

$$\langle \nabla f(x^*), x - x^* \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in C. \quad (1)$$

(Recall that $\langle x, y \rangle = x^T y$ is the inner product in \mathbb{R}^n .)

(b) Show that if $C = \{x : Ax = b\}$ is an affine set, where A is an $m \times n$ matrix, then (1) is equivalent to the condition that $\nabla f(x^*)$ is orthogonal to $N(A)$, the null space of A . Finally, show that there exists y such that $\nabla f(x^*) = A^T y$.

(c) If f is a convex function and x^* satisfies (1), then show that x^* is a global minimizer of f on C .

3. Consider the quadratic function $f(x) := \frac{1}{2}x^T Ax + c^T x + \alpha$ on \mathbb{R}^n , where A is any symmetric $n \times n$ matrix, $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that $f(x) \geq 0$, that is, f is non-negative on \mathbb{R}^n . Assuming A is a diagonal matrix, prove the following:

- (a) Show that A is positive semi-definite, that is, all diagonal elements of A are non-negative.
- (b) Show that f is a convex function.
- (c) Show that f achieves its infimum, that is, f has a global minimizer.

(d) Now assume that A is an arbitrary symmetric $n \times n$ matrix. Extend the proof of (a)–(c) for this general case. *Hint:* reduce to the diagonal case by diagonalizing A !

4. *Motzkin's Transposition Theorem* states that the following: Let A, B, C be matrices with the same number of rows. Then exactly one of the following systems is consistent:

$$A^T x < 0, \quad B^T x \leq 0, \quad C^T x = 0, \quad (I)$$

$$Ay + Bz + Cw = 0, \quad y \geq 0, \quad y \neq 0, \quad z \geq 0. \quad (II)$$

The following alternative theorem of *von Neumann–Morgenstern* plays an important role in game theory: Let D be an $n \times m$ matrix. Either there exists a vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ satisfying

$$\sum_{j=1}^m d_{ij} x_j \leq 0, \quad x \geq 0, \quad \sum_{j=1}^m x_j = 1, \quad (1)$$

or there exists a vector $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_{ij} y_i > 0, \quad y \geq 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n y_i = 1, \quad (2)$$

but not both.

Show, by straightforward manipulation, that von Neumann and Morgenstern follows directly from Motzkin's Transposition Theorem.

5. Consider the problem of projecting a point $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ onto the unit simplex. Write the problem as the optimization problem

$$\min \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \|x - a\|^2 : \langle e, x \rangle = 1, x \geq 0 \right\}, \quad (P)$$

Write the Lagrangian

$$L(x, \lambda, \mu) := \frac{\|x - a\|^2}{2} - \langle \lambda, x \rangle + \mu(\langle e, x \rangle - 1).$$

- (a) Show that the primal problem is indeed precisely the minimax problem

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \max_{0 \leq \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n, \mu \in \mathbb{R}} L(x, \lambda, \mu).$$

- (b) Show that the (Lagrangian) dual of (P) is the problem

$$\max_{0 \leq \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n, \mu \in \mathbb{R}} -\frac{1}{2} \|\mu e - \lambda - a\|^2 - \mu + \frac{1}{2} \|a\|^2. \quad (D)$$

Hint: verify that

$$L(x, \lambda, \mu) = \frac{1}{2} \|x - a + \mu e - \lambda\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|\mu e - \lambda - a\|^2 - \mu + \frac{1}{2} \|a\|^2.$$

- (c) What does the *Strong Duality Theorem (SDT)* say about the problem pair (P)–(D)? State it, and show that SDT holds true, citing an appropriate theorem if necessary.